## Face Presentation Attack Detection aka Face Anti-Spoofing

Prof Sébastien Marcel (www.idiap.ch/~marcel)

January 12, 2025



Idiap Research Institute



# Outline

**Presentation Attacks** 

Face PAs in reality

**Face PAIs** 

Presentation Attack Detection (PAD)

SW-based Face PAD

HW-based Face PAD

Conclusion



# Outline

## **Presentation Attacks**

Face PAs in reality

Face PAIs

Presentation Attack Detection (PAD)

SW-based Face PAD

HW-based Face PAD

Conclusion



## **Presentation Attacks**



In this talk we will focus:

- on direct attacks to the sensor (1), referred to as Presentation Attacks (PA),
- on biometric systems using face (aka face recognition),
- on methods to detect face PAs i.e. face Presentation Attack Detection (PAD).

# Definitions

### Presentation Attack (PA)

- An attempt to **fool** the biometric recognition system by presenting **fake** biometric data to the sensor, e.g.,
  - A **replica** of an enrolled user's biometric features (if the goal is to **impersonate** that user), or
  - Generic biometric features (if the goal is to avoid recognition)

PAs are also commonly called spoofing attacks, and the fake biometric data is referred to as a spoof

#### Presentation Attack Detection (PAD)

- The determination of a PA (i.e., "the presented biometric data is/is not a spoof")
- Also commonly referred to as anti-spoofing

# Definitions

## Presentation Attack Instrument (PAI)

- The biometric characteristic or object used to launch a PA
- Examples: Face mask, gummy fingerprint, dead body parts, etc.

#### **Bona Fide Presentation**

- Normal (intended) interaction of the subject with the biometric system's sensor
- Basically, anything which is **not** a PA

*Note:* See *Biometric presentation attack detection – part 1*, ISO/IEC 30107-1:2016 (2016) for formal (standardised) definitions.

## Importance

PAs pose a major threat to biometric recognition systems:

- because the attack is external to the system (i.e., at the sensor), so the attacker does not need to have any knowledge about the internal workings of the system,
- PAs can be launched by basically anyone, often using very basic tools.
- Growing field of research<sup>1</sup>:
  - novel methods for innovative PAIs and PAs,
  - novel techniques and algorithms for PAD (e.g. sensors, signal processing, machine learning, generalisation to unseen attacks),
  - not only for face biometrics but also fingerprint, iris, voice, vein, ...

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>S. Marcel *et al.*, "Handbook of Biometric Anti-Spoofing", Third Edition, *Springer*, 2023 (10.1007/978-981-19-5288-3)

# Outline

**Presentation Attacks** 

Face PAs in reality

Face PAIs

Presentation Attack Detection (PAD)

SW-based Face PAD

HW-based Face PAD

Conclusion



### Locker unlock (2019)



A group of primary school children in China showed that lockers secured by face recognition technology could be spoofed using a photograph of the locker owner's face<sup>2</sup>

//www.sixthtone.com/news/1004698/facial-recognition-smart-lockers-hacked-by-fourth-graders

<sup>2</sup> http:

## Robbery (2010)



Conrad Zdzierak used a silicone face mask to pass himself off as a black character "SPFX The Player" during bank robberies<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/northamerica/usa/8193185/ US-criminals-using-film-quality-masks-during-bank-robberies.html

### Immigration (2011)



A young Asian man disguised himself as an old Caucasian man using a silicone face mask, boarded a plane in Hong Kong, then removed the disguise mid-flight and asked for refugee status upon arriving in Canada<sup>4</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup>http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1326885/ Man-boards-plane-disguised-old-man-arrested-arrival-Canada.html

### Smartphone unlock (2011)



The Face Unlock feature on Galaxy Nexus, running Android 4.0, was spoofed by a face photograph  $^5$ 

<sup>5</sup>http://www.geek.com/android/android-face-lock-feature-spoofed-by-photograph-1440953

## Smartphone unlock (2017)





iPhone X's Face ID was spoofed by a specially crafted face mask<sup>6</sup>, despite claims that it is robust to mask attacks



6 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=i4YQRLQVixM

# Outline

**Presentation Attacks** 

Face PAs in reality

Face PAIs

Presentation Attack Detection (PAD)

SW-based Face PAD

HW-based Face PAD

Conclusion



#### Printed face image



- 1. Print an image of the target's face
- 2. Present the face image to the face recognition system

 $<sup>^{7}</sup>$  A. Anjos and S. Marcel, "Counter-Measures to Photo Attacks in Face Recognition: a public database and a baseline", *IEEE IJCB* 2011 (10.1109/IJCB.2011.6117503)

### Digital face image or video



- 1. Capture a digital image or record a video of the target's face (e.g., using a smartphone or tablet)
- 2. Present the image or video (e.g. deepfake) to the face recognition system

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> I. Chingovska, A. Anjos and S. Marcel, "Biometrics Evaluation Under Spoofing Attacks", IEEE TIFS 2014 (10.1109/TIFS.2014.2349158)

#### Video projection of an image or a video on any surface



 $^{9}$  N. Ramoly and al., "A Novel and Responsible Dataset for Face Presentation Attack Detection on Mobile Devices", *IEEE IJCB* 2024

#### Printed face on a t-shirt<sup>1</sup>



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> M. Ibsen and al., "Attacking Face Recognition with T-shirts: Database, Vulnerability Assessment and Detection", *IEEE Access* 2024 (10.1109/ACCESS.2023.0322000)

# Quizz time !

### Which images are Bona Fide and which are PA?



# Quizz time !

### Which images are Bona Fide and which are PA?



#### All are PAs!

- Left: Printed images
- Middle: iPhone (digital) images
- Right: iPad (digital) images

### Hard (resin composite) face mask<sup>1</sup>



- 1. 3D print a model of the target's face (plain or eye holes)
- 2. Present the corresponding hard face mask (made of a resin composite) to the face recognition system

 $<sup>^{11}</sup>$  N. Erdogmus and S. Marcel, "Spoofing Face Recognition with 3D Masks", IEEE TIFS, 9(7), pp. 1084–1097, 2014 (10.1109/TIFS.2014.2322255)

#### Hyper-realistic face masks <sup>1</sup>



Same as previous example but hyper-realistic plastic masks from HiRes pictures

 $<sup>^{12}</sup>$  K. Kotwal *et al.*, "Domain-Specific Adaptation of CNN for Detecting Face Presentation Attacks in NIR", *TBIOM* 2022 (10.1109/TBIOM.2022.3143569)

### Silicone face mask - generic



A generic silicone face mask could be used to obfuscate an attacker's identity, but it does not correspond to any specific target face

#### Silicone face mask – customised<sup>1</sup>



- 1. Manufacturer a custom 3D silicone mask,
- 2. Present the mask to the face recognition system

<sup>13</sup>K. Kotwal *et al.* "Multispectral Deep Embeddings As a Countermeasure To Custom Silicone Mask Presentation Attacks", *IEEE TBIOM*, 4(1), pp. 238–251, 2019 (10.1109/TBIOM.2019.2939421)

### Silicone face mask - customised

### The method

1. Acquire a 3D scan, measurements, and multiple 2D colour images of the target's face



25/64

#### Silicone face mask - customised

2. Send the information to a manufacturer (e.g., Nimba Creations<sup>14</sup>), who will generate a customised 3D silicone mask, including manual application of facial features (e.g., skin colour, eyebrows, etc.), for  $\approx$  4,000 USD



Raw mask



Intermediate mask



Final mask

3. Present the mask to the face recognition system

```
14
https://www.nimbacreations.com/
```

#### Silicone face mask – customised



- The customised silicone face masks are quite life-like and they allow for some flexibility in facial movement
- Effective for launching PAs against face recognition systems<sup>15</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Ramachandra, R. *et al.* "Custom silicone Face Masks: Vulnerability of Commercial Face Recognition Systems & Presentation Attack Detection", *IEEE IWBF*, pp. 1–6 (2019)

#### More methods under investigation

make-up: apply make-up to the attacker's face to impersonate an enrolled user of a face recognition system or to obfuscate by simulating aging<sup>16</sup>:



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> K. Kotwal *et al.*, "Detection of Age-Induced Makeup Attacks on Face Recognition Systems Using Multi-Layer Deep Features", *IEEE TBIOM*, 2019 (10.1109/TBIOM.2019.2946175)

#### More methods under investigation

 digital morphing<sup>17</sup>, deepfake face-swaps<sup>18</sup>, biometric template inversion<sup>19</sup>, ...



<sup>17</sup> E. Sarkar et al., "Are GAN-based morphs threatening face recognition?", IEEE ICASSP, 2022 (10.1109/ICASSP43922.2022.9746477)

<sup>18</sup>P. Korshunov and S. Marcel, "Subjective and Objective Evaluation of Deepfake Videos", *IEEE ICASSP* 2021 (10.1109/ICASSP39728.2021.9414258)

<sup>19</sup> H. Otroshi Shahreza, V. Krivokuca Hahn and S. Marcel, "Face Reconstruction from Deep Facial Embeddings using a Convolutional Neural Network", *IEEE ICIP* 2021 (10.1109/ICIP46576.2022.9897535)

# Outline

**Presentation Attacks** 

Face PAs in reality

Face PAIs

Presentation Attack Detection (PAD)

SW-based Face PAD

HW-based Face PAD

Conclusion



#### Biometric sub-system: a binary classifier



We measure the recognition accuracy :

- False Match Rate (FMR) or False Accept Rate (FAR): Proportion of bona fide zero-effort impostors that are accepted (i.e., classified as bona fide genuine presentations)
- False Non-Match Rate (FNMR) or False Reject Rate (FRR): Proportion of bona fide genuine presentations that are rejected (i.e., classified as either bona fide zero-effort impostors or PAs)

#### Biometric sub-system: a binary classifier



We can also measure the vulnerability as:

Impostor Attack Presentation Accept Rate (IAPAR) or Impostor Attack Presentation Match Rate (IAPMR) or Spoofing False Accept Rate (SFAR): Proportion of PAs that are accepted (i.e., classified as bona fide genuine presentations)

## Vulnerability of Deep Face Recognition<sup>2</sup>



FR systems using CNN are very vulnerable (up to 99% IAPMR)

Improved FR accuracy translates into improved vulnerability

<sup>20</sup>A. Mohammadi et al., "Deeply vulnerable: a study of the robustness of face recognition to presentation attacks", IET Biometrics, 2017 (10.1049/iet-bmt.2017.0079)

#### PAD sub-system: a binary classifier



We measure 2 errors:

- Attack Presentation Classification Error Rate (APCER): Proportion of PAs *incorrectly* classified as bona fide presentations
- Bona fide Presentation Classification Error Rate (BPCER): Proportion of bona fide presentations *incorrectly* classified as PAs

#### **PAD** methods

- software-based (SW): biometric data from the sensor is analysed to discriminate bona fide vs PA (eg. motion, texture)
- hardware-based (HW): an additional sensor (eg. multi-spectra) is used and its data analysed to discriminate bona fide vs PA (eg. temperature, pulse)
- challenge-response: the user interacts with the system (eg. prompted text in face/speaker recognition)



# Outline

**Presentation Attacks** 

Face PAs in reality

Face PAIs

Presentation Attack Detection (PAD)

SW-based Face PAD

HW-based Face PAD

Conclusion



## **RGB** only















Glasses

Replay Fake head









Replay

Print Replay-Attack, Mobile, MSU-MFSD







Rigid masks

Flexible mask Paper mask

WMCA



Waxface

#### From handcrafted classifiers to deep learning

- Motion analysis: Optical flow correlation and MLP to detect static PAIs <sup>21</sup>
- Texture analysis: Local Binary Patterns (LBP) and LDA/SVM to detect static/dynamic PAIs <sup>22</sup>
- Image quality: general image quality measures (IQM) and LDA to detect static/dynamic PAIs <sup>23</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> A. Anjos and S. Marcel, "Motion-Based Counter-Measures to Photo Attacks in Face Recognition", IET Biometrics, 3(3), pp. 147–158, 2013 (10.1049/iet-bmt.2012.0071)

 $<sup>^{22}</sup>$ l. Chingovska et al., "On the Effectiveness of Local Binary Patterns in Face Anti-spoofing", IEEE BIOSIG, 2012

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> J. Galbally, S. Marcel and J. Fierrez, "Image Quality Assessment for Fake Biometric Detection: Application to Iris, Fingerprint, and Face Recognition", *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 2013 (10.1109/TIP.2013.2292332)

#### From handcrafted classifiers to deep learning

Convolutional Neural Networks: DenseNet-based pixel-wise binary supervision <sup>24</sup> outperformed LBP and IQM



 Vision Transformers (ViTran): Fine-tuned ViTran<sup>25</sup> outperformed CNNs on unseen attacks

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 24}$  A. George and S. Marcel, "Deep pixel-wise binary supervision for face presentation attack detection" IEEE ICB 2019 (10.1109/ICB45273.2019.8987370)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> A. George and S. Marcel, "On the Effectiveness of Vision Transformers for Zero-shot Face Anti-Spoofing" *IEEE IJCB* 2021 (10.1109/IJCB52358.2021.9484333)

# Outline

**Presentation Attacks** 

Face PAs in reality

Face PAIs

Presentation Attack Detection (PAD)

SW-based Face PAD

HW-based Face PAD

Conclusion



#### RGB, Depth, NIR, SWIR and Thermal<sup>20</sup>



Home-made multi-spectra sensing station to capture:

- HQ VIS, HQ stereo NIR (660,735,850,940 nm)
- Depth from Intel SR435 (stereo) and HQ Thermal
- HQ SWIR (1050,1200,1300,1450,1550,1650 nm)

<sup>26</sup>https://www.idiap.ch/en/dataset/hq-wmca

#### PAD across spectrum

different channels provide complementary information from different sources and hence more robust PAD

- NIR offers several advantages for face PAD, especially for 2D attacks
- Prints and masks colored with non-metallic inks should be barely visible in NIR spectrum
- Wavelengths around 850–950 nm should provide better discrimination between human skin and other materials

#### PAD across spectrum

different channels provide complementary information from different sources and hence more robust PAD

- Thermal images<sup>27</sup> should make it easier to detect 2D and 3D mask attacks using the temperature distribution
- More precise information about the distribution of temperature should be needed to identify more sophisticated attacks such as make-up <sup>28</sup>
- SWIR channel should make it easy to identify skin easily due to the specific nature of reflectance spectra<sup>29</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup>Bhattacharjee, S. and Marcel, S. 'What you can't see can help you – extended-range imaging for 3D-mask presentation attack detection'', *IEEE BIOSIG* (2017)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup>Kotwal, K. et al. "Detection of Age-Induced Makeup Attacks on Face Recognition Systems Using Multi-Layer Deep Features", *IEEE T-BIOM*, 2(1), pp. 15–25 (2020) 29

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Kotwal, K. et al. "Multispectral Deep Embeddings As a Countermeasure To Custom Silicone Mask Presentation Attacks", IEEE T-BIOM, 4(1), pp. 238–251 (2019)

### Deep Learning (DL) PAD across spectrum

DL-based methods can be explored to detect a large range of PAIs:

multi-channel (RGB+NIR+SWIR) CNN-based approaches <sup>30</sup> <sup>31</sup>



 $^{30}$ G. Heusch *et al.*, "Deep Models and Shortwave Infrared Information to Detect Face Presentation Attacks", *IEEE TBIOM*, 2020 (10.1109/TBIOM.2020.3010312) 31

<sup>31</sup>A. George et al., "Biometric face presentation attack detection with multi-channel convolutional neural network", *IEEE TIFS*, 2019 (10.1109/TIFS.2019.2916652)

### Deep Learning (DL) PAD across spectrum

### DL-based methods can be explored to detect a large range of PAIs:

 a one class classifier framework: CNN embedding + One Class Constrastive Loss + GMMs <sup>32</sup>



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup>A. George and S. Marcel, "Learning one class representations for face presentation attack detection using multi-channel convolutional neural networks", *IEEE TIFS*, 2020 (10.1109/TIFS.2020.3013214)

### Deep Learning (DL) PAD across spectrum

### DL-based methods can be explored to detect a large range of PAIs:

■ a cross-modal (RGB+Depth) loss <sup>33</sup>



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> A. George and S. Marcel, "Cross Modal Focal Loss for RGBD Face Anti-Spoofing", IEEE CVPR 2021 (10.1109/CVPR46437.2021.00779)

#### PAD across spectrum: some conclusions

- Even simple average temperature of face regions is effective against simpler 2D/3D attacks
- Majority of impersonation/obfuscation attacks can be identified using SWIR or RGB+NIR
- Wavelengths around 1450 nm provide good separation between skin and other objects
- Even low resolution SWIR sensors improves the PAD performance greatly

# Outline

**Presentation Attacks** 

Face PAs in reality

Face PAIs

Presentation Attack Detection (PAD)

SW-based Face PAD

HW-based Face PAD

#### Conclusion



## To conclude

#### Is PAD a solved problem?

- biometrics is more prevalent hence incentives for launching PAs are multiplying
- active PAD research but generalisation (to unseen attacks) is challenging – arms race
- PAD is not a solved problem, it continues to be an important field of research

### References

- S. Marcel *et al.*, "Handbook of Biometric Anti-Spoofing", Third Edition, *Springer*, 2023 (10.1007/978-981-19-5288-3)
   N. Evans, S. Marcel, A. Ross and A. Teoh, "Biometrics
- Security and Privacy Protection", *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, 2015 (10.1109/MSP.2015.2443271)
- Z. Yu et al., "Deep Learning for Face Anti-Spoofing: A Survey", IEEE TPAMI, 2022 (10.1109/TPAMI.2022.3215850)

# Outline

**Presentation Attacks** 

Face PAs in reality

Face PAIs

Presentation Attack Detection (PAD)

SW-based Face PAD

HW-based Face PAD

Conclusion



# EPSC <sup>34</sup>

#### Two separate components



 $<sup>^{34}\</sup>textit{Biometrics}$  Evaluation under Spoofing Attacks, I. Chingovska and al., IEEE TIFS, 2014.

### **Fusion scheme**



One unique threshold to be determined



### Biometric systems without PAD (no fusion)



54/64

#### Biometric systems + PAD (fusion)



## Measuring the performance



### We still measure 3 errors:

- False Rejection Rate (FRR): % of genuine users falsely rejected
- False Acceptance Rate (FRR): % of zero-effort impostors falsely accepted
- Spoof False Acceptance Rate (SFAR): % of presentation attacks falsely accepted

## $FAR_{\omega}$ (development set)

Weighted error rate for the two negative classes (zero-effort impostors and presentation attacks):

$$FAR_{\omega} = (1 - \omega) \cdot FAR + \omega \cdot SFAR$$

Determine  $\tau^*_\omega$  to minimize the difference between  ${\sf FAR}_\omega$  and  ${\sf FRR}$  on the development set:

$$\tau_{\omega}^* = \arg\min_{\tau} |FAR_{\omega}(\tau, \mathcal{D}_{dev}) - FRR(\tau, \mathcal{D}_{dev})|$$

### $HTER_{\omega}$ (test set)

Measuring both the verification performance and the spoofability of the system

$$HTER_{\omega}(\tau_{\omega}^{*}, \mathcal{D}_{test}) = \frac{FAR_{\omega}(\tau_{\omega}^{*}, \mathcal{D}_{test}) + FRR(\tau_{\omega}^{*}, \mathcal{D}_{test})}{2}$$

### Ploting HTER $_{\omega}$ or SFAR



## **EPSC** in action

## **EPSC: HTER** $_{\omega}$ and **SFAR**



# **EPSC Examples**

#### EPSC to compare biometric systems only



4 biometric systems (no PAD): using the orange subsequently

# **EPSC Examples**

### **EPSC** to compare PAD



1 biometric system (blue), same system + 3 PADs (red, orange,green), same system + all PADs (purple)

# **EPSC Examples**

### EPSC to compare biometric systems fused with ALL PADs



4 biometric system + all PADs

Thank you for your attention! Prof Sébastien Marcel (www.idiap.ch/~marcel) Idiap Research Institute, Martigny, Switzerland



Idiap Research Institute

